## **Bell-CHSH Inequality and Genetic Algorithms**

Willi-Hans Steeb<sup>a</sup>, Yorick Hardy<sup>a</sup>, and Ruedi Stoop<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> International School for Scientific Computing, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa

b Institute of Neuroinformatics ETHZ/UNIZH, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Reprint requests to Prof. W.-H. St.; E-mail: WHS@NA.RAU.AC.ZA

Z. Naturforsch. **60a**, 865 – 866 (2005); received October 28, 2005

We apply genetic algorithms to find the value where the CHSH inequality is violated.

*Key words:* Bell Inequality; Bell States; Genetic Algorithms.

The Bell-CHSH (Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt) inequality [1-3] plays a central role in quantum mechanics for entangled states and local hidden variables theories. Here we show how genetic algorithms can be used to find values where the Bell-CHSH inequality is violated. In particular we want to find the values where the inequality is maximally violated.

Let  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}$  be unit vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , i.e.  $\|\mathbf{n}\| = \|\mathbf{m}\| = 1$ . Let  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  be the Pauli spin matrices,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  and  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = n_1 \sigma_1 + n_2 \sigma_2 + n_3 \sigma_3$ . Consider the spin singlet state (entangled state, Bell state)

$$|\Psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \binom{1}{0} \otimes \binom{0}{1} - \binom{0}{1} \otimes \binom{1}{0} \right). \tag{1}$$

Calculating the quantum mechanical expectation values  $E(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m})$ 

$$E(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \langle \Psi^{-} | (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}) \otimes (\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}) | \Psi^{-} \rangle$$
 (2)

yields

$$E(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} m_j n_j = -\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}$$

$$= -\|\mathbf{m}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{n}\| \cos \phi = -\cos \phi_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}},$$
(3)

where  $\phi$  is the angle  $(\phi \in [0, \pi])$  between the two quantization directions  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ . We write  $\phi_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}}$  to indicate

that  $\phi$  is the angle between **m** and **n**. The Bell-CHSH inequality [1-3] is given by

$$|E(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) - E(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}')| + |E(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}') + E(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m})| \le 2.$$
 (4)

Inserting (3) into (4) yields

$$|\cos \phi_{n,m} - \cos \phi_{n,m'}| + |\cos \phi_{n',m'} + \cos \phi_{n',m}| \le 2.$$
 (5)

We want to find the angles, where the inequality is maximally violated. To apply genetic algorithms we use the form

$$\|\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}'\| + \|\mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{m}\| < 2 \tag{6}$$

of the Bell-CHSH inequality. Genetic algorithms [4, 5] are the tool to be used to solve optimization problems in particular when the function to be optimized cannot be differentiated. We have to maximize the left-hand of equation (6), i. e. the function

$$f(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}') = \|\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m}'\| + \|\mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{m}\|$$
 (7)

and find the values for unit vectors  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}'$ ,  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}'$ . We express the unit vectors  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}'$ ,  $\mathbf{m}'$  using spherical coordinates in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , for example

$$\mathbf{n} = (\cos \theta_1, \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2), \tag{8}$$

where  $-\pi \le \theta_1 \le \pi$  and  $0 \le \theta_2 \le \pi$ . Hardy and Steeb [6] showed that genetic algorithms can be used directly with floating point numbers using mutation and crossing for the genetic operations. Applying these genetic operations provide us with a good approximation to the angles

$$\phi_{n m'} = 3\pi/4$$
,  $\phi_{n m} = \phi_{n' m'} = \phi_{n' m} = \pi/4$ , (9)

where  $\cos(3\pi/4) = -1/\sqrt{2}$  and  $\cos(\pi/4) = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . This leads to the maximal violation of the Bell-CHSH inequality which is given by  $2\sqrt{2}$ . Angles which violate the inequality (5) are called Bell angles.

An extension is the case where also the normalized states  $|\phi\rangle$  have to be found. Since the states are normalized we use spherical coordinates in  $\mathbf{R}^4$ :

$$(\cos \theta_1, \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3, \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

866 Note

where  $-\pi \le \theta_1 \le \pi$ ,  $0 \le \theta_j \le \pi$  with j = 2, 3. This includes all four Bell states of the form

$$|\mathbf{\Phi}^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\mathbf{\Phi}^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

- J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony, and R. A. Holt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1804 (1969).
- [2] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2000.
- [3] W.-H. Steeb and Y. Hardy, Problems and Solutions in Quantum Computing and Quantum Information, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore 2004.
- [4] W.-H. Steeb, The Nonlinear Workbook: Chaos, Fractals, Cellular Automata, Neural Networks, Genetic

$$|\Psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\Psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\-1\\0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying genetic algorithms provides a good approximation of the spin singlet state (1) given above. The extension to  $\mathbb{C}^4$  would be straightforward by adding a phase to the components.

- Algorithms, Gene Expression Programming, Support Vector Machine, Wavelets, Hidden Markov Models, Fuzzy Logic with C++, Java and SymbolicC++ Programs, 3rd ed., World Scientific Publishing, Singapore 2005.
- [5] W.-H. Steeb, Y. Hardy, A. Hardy, and R. Stoop, Problems and Solutions in Scientific Computing, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore 2004.
- [6] Y. Hardy and W.-H. Steeb, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 16, 1801 (2005).